

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/944,635	08/31/2001	Peignang Zhou	KCC-16,631	9238
75	590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER	
Pauley Petersen Kinne & Fejer			SALVATORE, LYNDA	
Suite 365 2800 W. Higgins Road			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Hoffman Estate			1771	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/944,635	ZHOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		(ONTHIO) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. RBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<b>Status</b> 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3</u> (	0 June 2003					
, <del></del>	This action is non-final.	attern presention as to the marite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-30,33-57 and 60-76</u> is/are	pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-30,33-57 and 60-76</u> is/are	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the !	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∭ All b)∭ Some * c)∭ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a li</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
ranslation of the foreign language ranslation of t	provisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/944,635

**Art** Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's response dated June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2003 has been entered. No claims have been amended and claims 1-10,13-30,33-57 and 60-67 are currently pending. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, however, they are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8-16, 20-24, 28-36, 42-51, 55-62,64,66, 67 and 68-75 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zafiroglu, US 5,468,320 in view of Hall, Jr., et al., US 3,370,106 as set forth in section 3 of the last Office Action.

Applicant argues a lack of motivation to combine the references of Zafiroglu and Hall, Jr., et al., asserting that general disclosure of gluing taught by Zafiroglu would not motivate some of ordinary skill in the art to look to Hall, Jr., et al., for a suitable adhesive composition. Applicant argues that Hall, Jr., et al., teaches using the hot melt adhesive composition with Kraft paper not a non-woven fabric (Applicant's response, page 3). These arguments are not found persuasive on the grounds that as set forth in the Examiners previous Office Action, Hall, Jr., et al., teaches that the hot melt adhesive comprising isotactic and atactic polypropylene is suitable to bond wood, paper, and textiles (Column 1, lines, 35-36). Recall, textile is freely defined in the art as any product made from fibers; thus, the term refers not only to woven fabrics, but also to non-woven fabrics, knitted fabrics and special fabric construction (Introductory Textile Science,

Application/Control Number: 09/944,635

Art Unit: 1771

Marjory L. Joseph, Copyright- 1986, Page 1). As such, the Examiner maintains that there is sufficient motivation to combine Zafiroglu with Hall, Jr., et al., to form the 103(a) obviousness rejection set forth above. With regard to the Applicant's discussion on the similarities between the Zafiroglu and Wang, and the arguments that follow, it is the position of the Examiner that these arguments are moot in light of the fact that Wang was not relied upon for this rejection (Applicant's response, spanning pages 4-7). Though, Zafiroglu does not teach a specific adhesive, the general teaching of gluing provides enough motivation to look to the art for suitable adhesive products, particularly the hot melt adhesive taught by Hall, Jr., et al., regardless if Wang teaches away from such an adhesive composition.

4. Claims 17-19, 37-41,63 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zafiroglu, US 5,468,320 in view of Hall, Jr., et al., US 3,370,106 as applied to claims 1, 21 and 48 above and further in view of Meece et al., US 2002/0039637 as set forth in section 4 of the last Office Action.

Since Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the 103(a) obviousness rejections for which these rejections are relied upon and no new arguments have been presented these claims stand rejected as set forth above.

5. Claims 5-7, 25-27, and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zafiroglu, US 5,468,320 in view of Hall, Jr., et al., US 3,370,106 as applied to claims 1,21, and 48 above as set forth in section 5 of the last Office Action.

Since Applicant's arguments are not persuasive to overcome the 103(a) obviousness rejections for which these rejections are relied upon and no new arguments have been presented these claims stand rejected as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,635

Art Unit: 1771

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 703-305-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

October 15, 2003

ls

CHERYLA JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER